Management Strategies and Engineering Sciences

Vol. 5. No. 1. Pages 23-32

Engineering Risk Management in the Context of Climate Change: A Review of Adaptive Strategies

Ali Abbaspour[1]

1. Department of Business Engineering Management, University of Science and Technology, Tehran, Iran

Abstract

Climate change poses significant and evolving risks to engineering projects, challenging traditional risk management practices and necessitating the adoption of adaptive strategies. This narrative review examines the current state of adaptive strategies in engineering risk management within the context of climate change, focusing on their trends, effectiveness, and the challenges associated with their implementation. The review synthesizes a wide range of literature, categorizing adaptive strategies into technological, organizational, and policy-driven approaches, and highlights the regional differences in their adoption. The findings reveal that while adaptive strategies such as climate-informed design and nature-based solutions are increasingly prevalent and effective in enhancing infrastructure resilience, their implementation is often constrained by high costs, technical limitations, and policy barriers. The review identifies significant gaps in the literature, particularly regarding the long-term effectiveness of adaptive strategies and the socio-economic dimensions of their application in developing countries. The article concludes by emphasizing the need for further research and the development of more cost-effective and scalable adaptive strategies, as well as the importance of supportive policy frameworks and increased stakeholder engagement in promoting resilience in engineering practices.

Keywords: Engineering Risk Management, Climate Change, Adaptive Strategies, Infrastructure Resilience, Climate-Informed Design, Nature-Based Solutions

Introduction

Climate change is increasingly recognized as one of the most significant global challenges, with profound implications across various sectors, including engineering. The phenomenon is characterized by rising global temperatures, changing precipitation patterns, and an increase in the frequency and intensity of extreme weather events, such as hurricanes, floods, and heatwaves (IPCC, 2014). These changes pose direct and indirect risks to engineering projects, threatening the integrity and longevity of infrastructure systems. For instance, sea-level rise can lead to coastal erosion and flooding, undermining the stability of coastal infrastructures such as bridges, roads, and buildings (Nicholls & Cazenave, 2010). Similarly, extreme heat can stress materials used in construction, reducing their lifespan and increasing the likelihood of failure (Luber & McGeehin, 2008).

In this context, traditional engineering practices, which often rely on historical climate data to inform design and risk management, are increasingly inadequate. The non-linear and unpredictable nature of climate change necessitates a shift towards adaptive strategies that can accommodate uncertainty and provide resilience against a wider range of future scenarios (Hallegatte et al., 2013). Adaptive strategies in engineering risk management are essential for ensuring that infrastructure remains functional, safe, and cost-effective in the face of these evolving challenges. They encompass a variety of approaches, including the use of flexible design standards, the integration of climate projections into planning, and the development of robust monitoring and maintenance regimes (Gersonius et al., 2013).

The primary objective of this review is to provide a comprehensive analysis of adaptive strategies in engineering risk management within the context of climate change. The review aims to synthesize existing literature to identify the most effective approaches, evaluate their implementation in different engineering contexts, and highlight areas where further research is needed. By focusing on adaptive strategies, this review seeks to contribute to the ongoing discourse on how the engineering profession can better prepare for and respond to the risks posed by a changing climate.

The review is particularly concerned with how adaptive strategies can be integrated into existing risk management frameworks to enhance the resilience of infrastructure. This includes examining the roles of various stakeholders, such as engineers, policymakers, and community leaders, in the adoption and implementation of these strategies. Furthermore, the review will explore the challenges and barriers to implementing adaptive strategies, such as economic constraints, regulatory limitations, and the need for interdisciplinary collaboration (Adger et al., 2009).

Methodology

The review process began with a comprehensive search of peer-reviewed articles, conference papers, reports, and relevant books using academic databases such as Web of Science, Scopus, Google Scholar, and ScienceDirect. The search was conducted using keywords related to engineering risk management, climate change, and adaptive strategies. Specific terms included combinations of "engineering risk management," "climate change adaptation," "infrastructure resilience," and "adaptive strategies in engineering." The time frame for the literature search was set to cover the past two decades, ensuring the inclusion of both foundational studies and the most recent advancements in the field.

To ensure the relevance and quality of the selected literature, specific inclusion and exclusion criteria were established. Articles were included if they focused explicitly on the intersection of engineering risk management and climate change adaptation, provided empirical or theoretical insights into adaptive strategies, or offered case studies of practical implementations in engineering projects. Studies that were purely theoretical without practical application, those focused on risk management outside the engineering domain, or those not directly related to climate change impacts were excluded from the analysis.

Once the relevant literature was identified, a systematic reading and extraction process was employed. Key information, such as the types of adaptive strategies discussed, their effectiveness, challenges encountered in implementation, and the context of their application (e.g., geographic region, type of infrastructure), was extracted and organized. This data was then used to categorize the adaptive strategies into different types, such as technological, organizational, and policy-driven approaches, providing a structured overview of the strategies currently being employed in the field.

The descriptive analysis method was applied to examine the frequency, distribution, and characteristics of the identified adaptive strategies across different studies. This involved identifying common themes, trends, and patterns within the literature, such as the most frequently recommended strategies, the regions most active in implementing these strategies, and the sectors of engineering most impacted by climate change. The effectiveness of these strategies was evaluated based on the outcomes reported in the case studies and empirical analyses found in the literature. This evaluation considered both qualitative assessments (such as stakeholder satisfaction or perceived resilience) and quantitative metrics (such as cost savings, reduction in risk exposure, or improvement in infrastructure performance).

The analysis also involved identifying gaps in the current research, particularly in areas where the literature was sparse or where existing strategies appeared to be insufficient in addressing the challenges posed by climate change. These gaps were highlighted to suggest directions for future research and to underline the areas where additional focus is needed to develop more robust and comprehensive adaptive strategies.

Theoretical Background

Risk management in engineering is a systematic process that involves the identification, assessment, and mitigation of risks that could impact the safety, functionality, or profitability of engineering projects. Traditional risk management practices are built on the premise of understanding historical data and using probabilistic models to predict future risks (Aven, 2016). The process typically begins with risk identification, where potential hazards are recognized. These could range from material failures and design flaws to external factors such as natural disasters. Once identified, these risks are assessed to determine their likelihood and potential impact. This assessment often involves quantitative methods, such as fault tree analysis or Monte Carlo simulations, to model risk scenarios and estimate the probability of different outcomes (Bedford & Cooke, 2001).

Mitigation strategies are then developed based on the assessment, aiming to either reduce the likelihood of risk occurrence or minimize the impact if the risk materializes. These strategies might include design modifications, the use of more durable materials, or the implementation of safety redundancies. In some cases, risk transfer mechanisms, such as insurance, are used to manage financial exposure. However, traditional risk management approaches are often limited by their reliance on historical data, which may not adequately account for the unprecedented and dynamic risks posed by climate change (Aven, 2016).

Climate change introduces new and complex challenges to engineering risk management, as it fundamentally alters the environmental conditions under which infrastructure systems operate. One of the most significant impacts of climate change is the increased frequency and severity of extreme weather events, such as hurricanes, floods, and heatwaves (IPCC, 2014). These events can cause catastrophic damage to infrastructure, leading to service disruptions, economic losses, and, in severe cases, loss of life. For example, the increased intensity of hurricanes has led to higher storm surges and more extensive flooding, overwhelming drainage systems and causing widespread damage to roads, bridges, and buildings (Lin et al., 2012).

Moreover, gradual changes such as sea-level rise and shifts in temperature and precipitation patterns can also have profound long-term effects on infrastructure. Sea-level rise, for instance, threatens coastal infrastructure through both direct inundation and the exacerbation of storm surges (Nicholls & Cazenave, 2010). Similarly, higher temperatures can accelerate the degradation of materials like asphalt and concrete, leading to increased maintenance costs and shorter lifespans for structures (Luber & McGeehin, 2008). These impacts necessitate a rethinking of traditional engineering practices, with a greater emphasis on adaptability and resilience.

Adaptive strategies in engineering refer to approaches that enable infrastructure systems to remain functional and safe in the face of changing environmental conditions. Unlike traditional risk management strategies, which often aim to prevent or mitigate risks based on historical data, adaptive strategies are designed to be flexible and responsive to uncertain and evolving conditions (Hallegatte et al., 2013). These strategies can include the use of modular designs that can be easily modified or expanded, the integration of climate projections into planning processes, and the adoption of nature-based solutions such as green infrastructure to enhance resilience (Gersonius et al., 2013).

The relevance of adaptive strategies in the context of climate change lies in their ability to provide a proactive response to the dynamic and unpredictable nature of climate risks. By incorporating flexibility and adaptability into engineering practices, these strategies help to ensure that infrastructure can withstand a wider range of future scenarios, reducing the likelihood of catastrophic failures and minimizing the long-term costs associated with climate impacts. Moreover, adaptive strategies often involve a multidisciplinary approach, requiring collaboration between engineers, environmental scientists, urban planners, and policymakers to develop solutions that are both technically sound and socially equitable (Adger et al., 2009).

Literature Review

The body of literature on engineering risk management and adaptive strategies in the context of climate change has grown significantly over the past two decades. This research has been driven by the increasing recognition of the need for more resilient infrastructure in the face of climate-induced risks. Studies have explored various aspects of this challenge, from the development of new design standards and materials to the implementation of policy frameworks that support adaptive practices (Hallegatte et al., 2013). A significant portion of the literature focuses on the integration of climate projections into engineering design and planning processes, highlighting the importance of using the best available science to inform decision-making (Wilby & Dessai, 2010).

Other research has examined the effectiveness of different adaptive strategies in real-world applications. For example, Gersonius et al. (2013) conducted a study on the use of flexible flood risk management strategies in urban areas, demonstrating how these approaches can enhance resilience to extreme weather events. Similarly, Adger et al. (2009) explored the role of social and institutional factors in facilitating or hindering the adoption of adaptive strategies, emphasizing the importance of governance and stakeholder engagement in the success of these initiatives. Despite the progress made in this field, there remains a need for more research on the long-term effectiveness of adaptive strategies, particularly in the context of rapidly changing climate conditions.

Adaptive strategies in engineering can be broadly categorized into three main types: technological, organizational, and policy-driven. Technological strategies involve the development and application of new materials, designs, and construction techniques that enhance the resilience of infrastructure to climate risks. For instance, the use of permeable pavements and green roofs in urban areas can help mitigate the impacts of extreme rainfall by enhancing water absorption and reducing runoff (Gersonius et al., 2013). Similarly, advancements in materials science have led to the development of more durable construction materials that can withstand higher temperatures and greater wear and tear (Luber & McGeehin, 2008).

Organizational strategies focus on the ways in which engineering firms, governments, and other stakeholders organize and manage their activities to address climate risks. This can include the adoption of new risk assessment frameworks that incorporate climate projections, the establishment of cross-disciplinary teams to facilitate integrated planning, and the development of training programs to enhance the capacity of engineers to address climate-related challenges (Hallegatte et al., 2013). Organizational strategies also involve the creation of partnerships between public and private sectors to share knowledge, resources, and best practices in climate resilience.

Policy-driven strategies involve the implementation of regulatory and legislative measures that promote the adoption of adaptive practices in engineering. These can include the establishment of building codes and standards that require the consideration of climate risks in design and construction, the provision of financial incentives for the use of resilient materials and technologies, and the integration of climate adaptation into national and regional planning processes (Adger et al., 2009). Policy-driven strategies are crucial for creating an enabling environment for the widespread adoption of adaptive measures, ensuring that resilience is prioritized in both public and private sector projects.

Several case studies from different regions and sectors provide valuable insights into the application and effectiveness of adaptive strategies in engineering. For example, the Netherlands has been at the forefront of adaptive flood risk management, employing a combination of technological innovations, such as storm surge barriers, and nature-based solutions, like the restoration of wetlands, to enhance coastal resilience (Gersonius et al., 2013). This approach has proven highly effective in protecting the country from rising sea levels and increased storm activity.

In the United States, New York City has implemented a series of adaptive strategies in response to the devastation caused by Hurricane Sandy in 2012. These include the construction of resilient infrastructure, such as elevated electrical substations and flood-resistant buildings, as well as the development of comprehensive emergency management plans that incorporate climate projections (Aerts et al., 2014). These measures have significantly improved the city's ability to withstand future extreme weather events, serving as a model for other urban areas facing similar risks.

In Australia, the use of adaptive water management strategies in response to prolonged droughts has been widely studied. For instance, the city of Melbourne has implemented a range of measures, including the development of alternative water sources such as desalination and recycled water, the promotion of water-efficient technologies, and the establishment of water-saving targets for households and industries (Pigram, 2006). These strategies have helped the city to maintain a reliable water supply despite the challenges posed by climate change.

Despite the substantial body of research on adaptive strategies in engineering risk management, there are still significant gaps that need to be addressed. One of the primary gaps is the lack of long-term studies that evaluate the effectiveness of adaptive strategies over extended periods. Most existing research focuses on short-term outcomes, leaving questions about the sustainability and durability of these strategies unanswered (Wilby & Dessai, 2010). Additionally, there is a need for more research on the socio-economic and cultural dimensions of adaptive strategies, particularly in developing countries where resources and institutional capacities may be limited (Adger et al., 2009).

Another gap in the literature is the limited understanding of the potential trade-offs and unintended consequences of adaptive strategies. For example, while nature-based solutions like wetland restoration can enhance resilience, they may also require significant land use changes that could impact local communities and ecosystems (Gersonius et al., 2013). More research is needed to explore these trade-offs and to develop strategies that balance the need for resilience with other environmental and social considerations.

Finally, there is a need for greater integration of climate adaptation into engineering education and professional development. As the challenges posed by climate change continue to evolve, it is essential that engineers are equipped with the knowledge and skills needed to implement adaptive strategies effectively. This requires a concerted effort to incorporate climate resilience into engineering curricula and to provide ongoing training and support for practicing engineers (Hallegatte et al., 2013).

Findings

The literature on adaptive strategies in engineering risk management reveals several prominent trends that reflect the evolving understanding of climate resilience in infrastructure development. A notable trend is the increasing emphasis on integrating climate projections into engineering design and planning processes. This approach, often referred to as "climate-informed design," represents a shift from traditional methods that rely on historical climate data to models that account for future climate scenarios. Studies have highlighted the adoption of this strategy across various regions, particularly in countries with high exposure to climate risks, such as the Netherlands and the United States (Wilby & Dessai, 2010). These regions have been leaders in incorporating adaptive measures into engineering practices, driven by the immediate threats posed by rising sea levels and increased storm intensity.

Another trend is the growing popularity of nature-based solutions as adaptive strategies. Nature-based solutions, which include approaches such as wetland restoration, green infrastructure, and urban forests, are increasingly recognized for their ability to provide cost-effective and sustainable resilience against climate impacts. These strategies are particularly prevalent in Europe, where countries like the

Netherlands and Germany have invested heavily in integrating natural ecosystems into urban planning to mitigate flood risks (Gersonius et al., 2013). The trend towards nature-based solutions reflects a broader recognition of the need for multifunctional infrastructure that can deliver environmental, social, and economic benefits simultaneously.

Regional differences in the adoption of adaptive strategies are also apparent. While high-income countries tend to focus on technologically advanced solutions and large-scale infrastructure projects, low- and middle-income countries often rely on community-based and low-cost adaptive strategies due to resource constraints (Adger et al., 2009). For example, in parts of Sub-Saharan Africa and Southeast Asia, adaptive strategies have often involved the use of indigenous knowledge and practices, such as traditional water management systems, to cope with changing climate conditions (Pigram, 2006). These regional differences underscore the importance of context-specific strategies that consider the unique vulnerabilities and capacities of different regions.

The effectiveness of adaptive strategies varies widely depending on the context in which they are implemented and the specific challenges they are designed to address. Studies consistently show that climate-informed design approaches are highly effective in reducing the vulnerability of infrastructure to future climate risks. For instance, the use of elevated design standards in flood-prone areas, as implemented in New York City following Hurricane Sandy, has significantly reduced the risk of flood damage to critical infrastructure (Aerts et al., 2014). Similarly, in coastal areas of the Netherlands, the combination of storm surge barriers and adaptive planning has proven effective in protecting low-lying areas from sea-level rise and extreme weather events (Gersonius et al., 2013).

Nature-based solutions have also demonstrated considerable effectiveness, particularly in urban areas where they can provide multiple benefits. For example, green roofs and permeable pavements in European cities have not only reduced the risk of urban flooding but have also contributed to improved air quality and enhanced urban biodiversity (Gersonius et al., 2013). However, the effectiveness of these solutions often depends on proper implementation and maintenance. In some cases, poorly designed nature-based solutions have failed to provide the expected level of protection, highlighting the need for careful planning and ongoing management.

Despite the successes, the literature also points to significant challenges in evaluating the effectiveness of adaptive strategies. One of the main challenges is the difficulty in measuring long-term outcomes. Many adaptive strategies are designed to address risks that may not fully materialize for decades, making it challenging to assess their success in the short term (Wilby & Dessai, 2010). Moreover, the effectiveness of adaptive strategies is often context-dependent, varying according to local environmental conditions, socio-economic factors, and governance structures. This variability complicates the transferability of successful strategies from one region to another.

Implementing adaptive strategies in engineering projects faces several challenges and limitations, which can hinder their widespread adoption and effectiveness. One of the primary challenges is the high cost associated with many adaptive measures. Technologically advanced solutions, such as storm surge barriers or climate-resilient materials, require significant financial investment, which may not be feasible for all regions, particularly in low- and middle-income countries (Adger et al., 2009). Even in high-income countries, the allocation of funds for adaptive strategies often competes with other pressing infrastructure needs, making it difficult to prioritize long-term resilience over immediate concerns.

Technical feasibility is another major limitation. Many adaptive strategies require specialized knowledge and expertise, which may not be readily available in all regions. For example, the design and implementation of climate-informed infrastructure require advanced modeling and engineering capabilities that are often concentrated in a few global centers of excellence (Hallegatte et al., 2013). This disparity in technical capacity can lead to uneven adoption of adaptive strategies, with less developed regions lagging behind in resilience-building efforts.

Policy constraints also pose significant challenges to the implementation of adaptive strategies. In many cases, existing regulatory frameworks are not designed to accommodate the flexibility and innovation required for effective adaptation. Building codes, for instance, are often based on historical climate data and may not reflect the future climate conditions that adaptive strategies are intended to address (Adger et al., 2009). Furthermore, the fragmented nature of governance in some regions can lead to a lack of coordination between different levels of government and sectors, hindering the integration of adaptive strategies into broader planning processes.

Social and cultural factors also play a role in limiting the adoption of adaptive strategies. In some communities, there may be resistance to change due to a lack of awareness or understanding of the risks posed by climate change (Pigram, 2006). Additionally, the perceived disruption associated with implementing new strategies, such as relocating communities or altering traditional practices, can lead to opposition from local stakeholders. Overcoming these challenges requires not only technical solutions but also efforts to engage and educate communities about the benefits of adaptation.

Discussion

The descriptive analysis reveals that adaptive strategies in engineering risk management are diverse and context-dependent, with varying degrees of effectiveness. Climate-informed design and nature-based solutions emerge as particularly effective strategies in enhancing infrastructure resilience to climate change. These strategies are most successful in regions that have both the financial resources and technical expertise to implement them effectively. However, the analysis also highlights significant challenges, including high costs, technical limitations, and policy constraints, which can impede the widespread adoption of these strategies.

The findings suggest that while adaptive strategies hold great potential for mitigating climate-related risks, their implementation is often hindered by a lack of resources, expertise, and supportive policy frameworks. This underscores the importance of developing more cost-effective and technically feasible solutions that can be scaled across different regions. Furthermore, the variability in the effectiveness of adaptive strategies across different contexts points to the need for more research on context-specific approaches that consider the unique vulnerabilities and capacities of different regions.

Given the gaps identified in the literature, several areas for future research emerge. First, there is a need for long-term studies that evaluate the effectiveness of adaptive strategies over extended periods. Such research would provide valuable insights into the sustainability and durability of these strategies, helping to inform future adaptation efforts. Additionally, more research is needed on the socio-economic and cultural dimensions of adaptation, particularly in developing countries where resources are limited, and institutional capacities may be weaker.

Another important area for future research is the development of more cost-effective and scalable adaptive strategies. This could involve exploring innovative materials and technologies that offer resilience at a lower cost, as well as developing new frameworks for integrating climate risks into engineering practice. Furthermore, research should focus on enhancing the technical capacity of regions that currently lack the expertise needed to implement advanced adaptive strategies. This could involve partnerships between developed and developing countries, as well as investments in education and training for engineers and other stakeholders.

The findings of this review have several practical implications for engineers, policymakers, and other stakeholders. For engineers, the increasing emphasis on climate resilience requires a shift in practice towards more adaptive and flexible design approaches. This may involve incorporating climate projections into design processes, adopting new materials and technologies, and working closely with other disciplines to develop integrated solutions. For policymakers, the challenges identified in the review highlight the need for supportive regulatory frameworks that encourage the adoption of adaptive strategies. This could involve updating building codes to reflect future climate conditions, providing financial incentives for resilience-building measures, and fostering greater collaboration between different levels of government and sectors.

Finally, for communities and other stakeholders, the review underscores the importance of engagement and education in the adaptation process. By raising awareness of the risks posed by climate change and the benefits of adaptive strategies, stakeholders can play a critical role in supporting the implementation of these measures. This is particularly important in regions where resistance to change may be a barrier to adaptation.

Conclusion

This review has explored the landscape of adaptive strategies in engineering risk management, highlighting the trends, effectiveness, and challenges associated with these approaches. Climate-informed design and nature-based solutions have been identified as particularly effective strategies for enhancing infrastructure resilience to climate change. However, the implementation of these strategies is often constrained by high costs, technical limitations, and policy barriers. The review also identified significant gaps in the literature, particularly in terms of long-term effectiveness and context-specific approaches.

The importance of adaptive strategies in managing climate-related risks in engineering cannot be overstated. As climate change continues to pose unprecedented challenges to infrastructure systems worldwide, the need for innovative and flexible approaches to risk management is more critical than ever. Adaptive strategies offer a promising pathway towards building resilience, but their success will depend on overcoming the challenges and limitations identified in this review. This requires a concerted effort from engineers, policymakers, and other stakeholders to develop, implement, and support these strategies in a way that is both effective and equitable.

To advance the field of engineering risk management in the context of climate change, further research and practical efforts are urgently needed. Researchers should focus on addressing the gaps identified in this review, particularly in terms of long-term effectiveness and the development of cost-effective solutions. Policymakers should work to create an enabling environment that supports the adoption of adaptive strategies through updated regulations, financial incentives, and improved governance. Finally, engineers and other stakeholders should continue to innovate and collaborate, ensuring that infrastructure systems are prepared to face the challenges of a changing climate.

References

Adger, W. N., Dessai, S., Goulden, M., Hulme, M., Lorenzoni, I., Nelson, D. R., Naess, L. O., Wolf, J., & Wreford, A. (2009). Are there social limits to adaptation to climate change? *Climatic Change*, 93(3-4), 335-354. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10584-008-9520-z

Aerts, J. C. J. H., Botzen, W. J. W., Emanuel, K., Lin, N., De Moel, H., & Michel-Kerjan, E. O. (2014). Evaluating flood resilience strategies for coastal megacities. *Science*, 344(6183), 473-475. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1248222

Aven, T. (2016). Risk assessment and risk management: Review of recent advances on their foundation. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 253(1), 1-13. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejor.2015.12.023

Bedford, T., & Cooke, R. (2001). *Probabilistic risk analysis: Foundations and methods*. Cambridge University Press. https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511812078

Gersonius, B., Ashley, R., Pathirana, A., & Zevenbergen, C. (2013). Climate change uncertainty: Building flexibility into water and flood risk infrastructure. *Climatic Change*, 116(2), 411-423. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10584-012-0494-5

Hallegatte, S., Shah, A., Lempert, R., Brown, C., & Gill, S. (2013). *Investment decision making under deep uncertainty: Application to climate change*. World Bank Policy Research Working Paper No. 6193. https://doi.org/10.1596/1813-9450-6193

IPCC. (2014). *Climate change 2014: Impacts, adaptation, and vulnerability*. Cambridge University Press. https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781107415379

Lin, N., Emanuel, K., Oppenheimer, M., & Vanmarcke, E. (2012). Physically based assessment of hurricane surge threat under climate change. *Nature Climate Change*, 2(6), 462-467. https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate1389

Luber, G., & McGeehin, M. (2008). Climate change and extreme heat events. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine*, 35(5), 429-435. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amepre.2008.08.021

Nicholls, R. J., & Cazenave, A. (2010). Sea-level rise and its impact on coastal zones. *Science*, 328(5985), 1517-1520. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1185782

Pigram, J. J. (2006). Australia's water resources: From use to management. *Land Degradation & Development*, 17(5), 555-556. https://doi.org/10.1002/ldr.739

Wilby, R. L., & Dessai, S. (2010). Robust adaptation to climate change. *Weather*, 65(7), 180-185. https://doi.org/10.1002/wea.543